THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

-

WRIT PETITION No.25386 of 2005

-

Dated:02.12.2005

Between:

Smt.P.Latha W/o.P.Gangadhar Rao
R/o.8-B, Type -IV, G.P.R.A., Quarters, Gachibowli, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The state of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by the Mandal Revenue officer,

Dharoor mandal, Ranga Reddy District, and others.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

-

WRIT PETITION No.25386 of 2005

ORDER:

-

The petitioner is allegedly in possession of land admeasuring Acs.4.06 guntas in Survey No.33 and Acs.8.15 guntas in Survey No.36 of Kondapur Kurdu Village, Keralli Gram Panchayat of Dharur Mandal, Ranga Reddy District. The petitioner claims that she has purchased the property under registered sale deeds from Kothakapu Galreddy, Kothakapu Manik Reddy and P.Anantha Reddy. It is the case of the petitioner that the Mandal Revenue Officer initiated action under the A.P. Assigned Lands (Prohibition of Transfers) Act, 1977, and issued a show cause notice as to why the land should not be resumed to the Government. The petitioner

submitted explanation on 04.01.2005, when the matter was still pending before the Mandal Revenue Officer. In the meanwhile, it is alleged that on 26.11.2005 at 3.00 pm the first respondent orally directed the petitioner to vacate the land and threatened to coercive dispossession of the petitioner. Therefore, the present writ petition is filed.

The matter was initially listed before this Court on 29.11.2005. This Court directed the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue (Assignment) to get instructions in the matter. Today, after getting instructions, the learned Assistant Government Pleader submits that the matter is still pending before the Mandal Revenue Officer. He, however, denies the other allegation that the Mandal Revenue Officer tried to dispossess the petitioner.

In view of the submissions made by the learned Assistant Government Pleader, this writ petition is disposed of in the interest of justice observing that unless and until, the competent authority passes order for resumption of the land, cancelling the assignment made in favour of the original assignees, the petitioner is entitled to be in occupation of the land, which he purchased under registered sale deeds.

The Writ Petition, with the above observation, is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

02.12.2005

vs